1 SHANNON TAITANO, ESQ. OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR OF GUAM 2 Ricardo J. Bordallo Governor's Complex Adelup, Guam 96910 3 Telephone: (671) 472-8931 Facsimile: (671) 477-6666 4 5 EDUARDO A. CALVO, ESQ. KATHLEEN V. FISHER, ESO. 6 RODNEY J. JACOB, ESQ. MAY 012008 R.D. DANIEL M. BENJAMIN, ESQ. 7 CALVO & CLARK, LLP JEANNE G. QUINATA Attorneys at Law 8 Clark of Count 655 South Marine Corps Drive, Suite 202 9 Tamuning, Guam 96913 Telephone: (671) 646-9355 10 Facsimile: (671) 646-9403 11 Attorneys for the Government of Guam and Felix P. Camacho, Governor of Guam 12 13 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF GUAM 14 JULIE BABAUTA SANTOS, et. al., **CIVIL CASE NO. 04-00006** 15 (Consolidated with Civil Case Nos. 04-00038 and 04-00049) Petitioners, 16 17 STATUS REPORT OF THE **GOVERNOR AND GOVERNMENT** 18 OF GUAM 19 FELIX P. CAMACHO, etc., et. al. 20 Respondents. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 ORIGINAL 28

Document 593

Filed 05/01/2008

Page 1 of 3

Case 1:04-cv-00006

In response to the Court's Order of April 23, 2008, the Governor and Government of Guam report that they are aware of no remaining motions in the Santos or Torres actions. Accordingly, they ask that the Court enter a final judgment in the Santos and Torres actions consistent with the Court's April 23 Order and the Settlement with the EIC Class.

The Governor and Government also ask that the Court enter a partial final judgment under Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 54(b) consistent with the Order and Settlement in the *Simpao* action as to plaintiffs Mary Grace Simpao and Janice Cruz, who are EIC Class members.

Plaintiff Christina M. Naputi is not a class member because she opted out. (*See* Dock. No. 409). Thus no judgment should be entered as to her in the *Simpao* action. However, in 2005, the Governor moved to stay the *Simpao* action pending completion of the litigation concerning the *Santos* settlement (which settlement the *Torres* parties later joined). The relevant pleadings are *Simpao* Docket Nos. 154-156 (opening brief and supporting papers), Nos. 161-62, 164 (opposition papers), and Nos. 165-66 (reply brief and supporting papers).

After reviewing the docket, as best counsel can determine, no court order ever resolved this motion. Accordingly, the Governor hereby renews that motion and asks that a stay issue of plaintiff Naputi's action until the time for appeals of the Court's April 23 Order granting final approval and Judgment expires, or any appeals that are filed are exhausted. Such a stay is warranted for the reasons set forth in the original stay motion, including that plaintiff Naputi's action may be used by her counsel (who represented two objectors) to collaterally attack the settlement and final Order approving the settlement while they are on appeal. There is no prejudice to Plaintiff Naputi from any delay caused by a stay, as she remains entitled to seek interest under the IRC on any claims on which she ultimately prevails. Further, it will promote

While still represented by the Attorney General, the Government of Guam had joined the opposition to the motion to stay. (See Simpao Dock No. 163). Such joinder is now withdrawn. Instead, the Government joins in the Governor's renewed motion to stay.

judicial economy to ensure that all appeals are exhausted or expired prior to having Ms. Naputi's action proceed.

The Governor and Government believe that further briefing may not be necessary as the motion to stay is fully briefed, but are prepared to present further briefing and/or argument should the Court require it. Finally, they note that any dispute as to the stay should not cause delay as to the entry of judgment as to all other plaintiffs and as to the EIC Class, and thus they ask that judgment be entered as to all other plaintiffs and as to the EIC Class with all deliberate speed.

Dated this 1st day of May, 2008.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR OF GUAM

CALVO & CLARK, LLP

Attorneys for the Government of Guam and Felix P. Camacho, Governor of Guam

By:

DANIEL M. BENJAMIN